1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

FILED
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
FEB - 9 2017
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Raul Adan Leon

Defendant.

Case No.

2:05-CR-00235-R-11

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING

[Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(A)(6); 18 U.S.C. § 3143(A)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the <u>Central District of California</u> for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation] (supervised release); and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),

The Court finds that:

A. The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on ______

	defendant
1	The Pretrial Services Report and Violation Petition show that a is unemployed and
2	lacks firming the to the Contral District. Detendants continuing use of
3	illegal cliess and unwillingness to submit to testing or treatment further are indicative of a flight risk. The proposed unjustified surety is not clear and convincing evidence. and/or
4	and/or
5	B. The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and
6	convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of
7	any other person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or
8	(c). This finding is based on: Defendant is substance abuse and criminal
9	history indicate danger to the community. Defendant was hostile to drug
10	treatment programs when proposed by Probation and Pretial Services.
11	Only after talking to counse) land after initially refusing to interiow with
12	Pretion Services & Defendant changed his position and said he was interested
13	Only after talking to counse) (and after initially refusing to interiow with Pretrial Services). Defendant changed his position and said he was interested in army treatment. That descrees not constitute clear and convincing evidence IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the
14	further revocation proceedings.
15	
16	Dated: 2/9/2017
17	
18	ale Wack
19	ALEXANDER F. MacKINNON
20	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	tt